

RESPONSE: Undisputed. Plaintiff was unaware of when the account was assigned to Monitronics International, Inc. but the terms of the contract do state that the contract may be assigned by Defendant to Monitronics International, Inc.

6. Plaintiff has this account from approximately July 30, 2011 until October of 2014. (date of contract, Depo. Exhibit 1); (Exhibit E at 30:1-9; Depo. Exhibit 2 (cancellation letter sent to Monitronics)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

7. In October of 2014, Plaintiff sent a letter asking that “Monitronics” account with an account number of 601119902 be cancelled. (Doc. 1-1 at 6).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

8. Therefore, the entity and the account number for the cancelation letter sent by Plaintiff in October of 2014 match the entity and account number for the March 29, 2018 letter sent by SWC. (Doc. 1-1 at 6; Exhibit D).

RESPONSE: Disputed. The entities mentioned in the cancellation letter were Platinum Protection and Monitronics. The entity mentioned in the letter sent by SWC is MONI.

9. Thereafter, in September of 2016, Monitronics rebranded and changed its name to MONI. Exhibit 1 at 2.

RESPONSE: Undisputed. Plaintiff was unaware that this rebranding had occurred.

10. Then, in 2017, Plaintiff’s account was placed with SWC for collection. (Exhibit C).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

11. At the time the debt was assigned to SWC, the original creditor was, in fact, MONI. Id.

RESPONSE: Disputed. MONI is neither an entity nor business organization, as it is not registered as a business in the state of Illinois. Illinois Secretary of State’s Business Lookup, <https://www.ilsos.gov/corporatellc/>.

12. As such, the March 29, 2018 letter undisputedly stated the name of “the creditor to whom the debt is owed.” (Doc. 1-1 at 6; Exhibit A).

RESPONSE: Disputed. This is a legal conclusion. MONI is neither an entity nor business organization, as it is not registered as a business in the state of Illinois. Illinois Secretary of State’s Business Lookup, <https://www.ilsos.gov/corporatellc/>.

13. The debt assigned to SWC was for Carolyn Webber. (Doc. 1-1 at 6).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

14. This lawsuit has been filed by Carolyn Miller. (Doc. 1; Doc. 1-1; see this Court's PACER docket).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

15. As acknowledged by Plaintiff's filings, and for purposes of this suit, Carolyn Webber and Carolyn Miller are the same person. (Doc. 1; Doc. 1-1).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Celetha Chatman
One of Plaintiffs' Attorneys

Celetha Chatman
Michael Wood
Community Lawyers Group, Ltd.
20 N. Clark Street, Suite 3100
Chicago, IL 60602
Ph: (312)757-1880
Fx: (312)265-3227
cchatman@communitylawyersgroup.com
mwood@communitylawyersgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Celetha Chatman, an attorney, hereby certify that on May 2, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing document using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

Dated: May 2, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Celetha Chatman